

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,928	08/27/2003	Benjamin T. Gornez	47079-0228	47079-0228 6114	
30223	7590 09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C.			KARKHANIS, AASHISH		
225 WEST WASHINGTON SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60606	•	3714		
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/649,928	GORNEZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aashish Karkhanis	3714			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ine 2006</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
·	') Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	n-(d) or (f).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	rd.			
Attachment						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [`Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate			
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

1. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. A claim that requires one or more acts to be performed defines a process. However, not all processes are statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 296, 30 USPQ2d at 1460. To be statutory, a claimed computer-related process must either: (A) result in a physical transformation outside the computer for which a practical application in the technological arts is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan, or (B) be limited to a practical application within the technological arts. Claim 20 discloses a number of determination steps, but does not provide any useful or tangible result from the determination steps. Therefore, since no useful or tangible result is disclosed from an abstract determination, Claim 20 does not contain statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3714

2. Claims 1 - 10, 13 - 19 and 21 - 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frohm et al. (U.S. Patent 6,159,095).

Regarding Claims 1, 8, 13 – 14,19 and 23, Frohm discloses a gaming machine having an improved game display including a plurality of extendable displays each having an indicium, the extendable displays further having a first position out of the field of view of a player and a second position in the field of view of a player (col. 2, lins. 41 -50), a drive mechanism selectably engageable to each of the plurality of extendable displays for moving the extendable display in a first direction from the first position to the second position (col. 2, lins. 42 – 45) and for moving the extendable display in a second direction from the second position to the first position, and a central processing adapted to select one of the plurality of extendable displays and further adapted to signal the drive mechanism to translate the selected extendable display between the first position and the second position, and moving the extendable display in a second direction to return the extendable display to the first position (col. 5, lins. 38 - 40; where a deal option resets the game to the original state where no boards are popped up and extended). Frohm also discloses a display having a first obscured position, a second partially viewable position, and a third fully viewable position, and a method for translating between said positions as described above (col. 2, lins. 40 – 45; where a pop-up occurs in a cash register like fashion, inherently including a middle position between obscured and displayed).

Regarding Claims 2-3 and 15-18, Frohm discloses a gaming machine wherein the game display has an aperture for allowing the player to view the extendable

Art Unit: 3714

display in the second position, and the first position is behind an obstruction (col. 2, lins. 48 – 50; where a card is viewed through a simulated aperture on a touch-screen and is shown when touched).

Regarding Claims 4 - 5, 9 - 10 and 21 - 22, Frohm discloses a gaming machine wherein the drive mechanism rotates the extendable display between the first position and the second position, and linearly translates the extendable display between the first position and the second position (col. 2, lins. 48 - 50; where an electronic touch screen is used to simulate mechanical movement of an extendable display to perform an identical function).

Regarding Claim 6, Frohm discloses a gaming machine wherein the extendable display is a flat-panel display for displaying the indicium (col. 2, lins. 48 – 50).

Regarding Claim 7, Frohm discloses a gaming machine wherein the extendable display is a scroll mechanism having a plurality of indicia being individually selectable (col. 5, lins. 8 – 11; where a plurality of game boards in a stack may be selected and extended).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frohm et al. (U.S. Patent 6,159,095).

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding Claims 11 – 12, Frohm discloses a gaming machine wherein the drive mechanism includes a plurality of shafts concentrically oriented or solenoid valves, and a plurality of motors, each of the plurality of motors adapted to drive one of the plurality of shafts or valves (col. 9, lins. 26 – 33; where mechanical reels with symbols affixed are stopped, which may inherently be driven by motors or solenoids as is well known in the art) but does not disclose shafts or valves connected to an extendable display. However, Frohm discloses a touch-screen used to simulate an extendable display, causing an identical outcome as a plurality of shafts being connected to at least one extendable display (col. 2, lins. 48 – 50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the electronic extendable display of Frohm with an electromechanical display and mechanism in order to provide a more old-fashioned and authentic gaming experience for a player.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent 6,347,996 B1: Reel Game with image reveal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aashish Karkhanis whose telephone number is (571) 272-2774. The examiner can normally be reached on 0800-1630 M-F.

Art Unit: 3714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARK

JOHN M/HOTAL**ING, (I** PRIMARY **EXAMINER**